<u>Long Baseline Neutrino Experiment</u> <u>in Japan</u>

<u>T2K (Tokai to Kamioka Neutrino Oscillation Experiment)</u> <u>Neutrino facility becomes a reality in 3 years</u>

> III International Workshop on "Neutrino Oscillations in Venice" Koichiro Nishikawa Kyoto University February 8, 2006

J-PARC Facility



Non-zero mass of neutrinos ! What kind of neutrino facility needed for years to come? Flavor Physics esp. history of neutrino studies show full of surprises co op with unexpected (Kamiokande for Kamioka Nucleon decay Experiment !)

Quantities: lepton ID and neutrino energy E_{v}

Good E_v determination

Precision measurement of θ_{23} Precision measurement of oscillation pattern oscillation + ?

Lepton ID, NC-CC distinction

e -appearance Δm^2 MNS 3gen. formulation like CKM e-appearance exp. CPV in leptonic process (leptogenesis?)

What is the best configuration for \underline{E}_{v} and <u>PID</u>, given detector must be massive (simple) ?

Main features of T2K-1

The distance (295km) and Δm^2 (~2.5x10⁻³ eV²)

- 1. Oscillation max. at sub-GeV neutrino energy
 - sub-GeV means QE dominant
 - Event-by event Ev reconstruction
 - Small high energy tail
 - small BKG in ve search and Ev reconstruction
- 2. Proper coverage of near detector(s)
 - Cross section ambiguity
- 3. Analysis of water Cherenkov detector data has accumulated almost twenty years of experience
 - K2K has demonstrated BG rejection in ve search
 - Realistic systematic errors and how to improve
- 4. Accumulation of technologies on high power beam

4

Long baseline neutrino oscillation experiment from Tokai to Kamioka. (T2K)



Physics goals

- •Discovery of $\nu_{\mu \rightarrow} \nu_{e}$ appearance
- •Precise meas. of disappearance $\nu_{\mu \rightarrow} \nu_{\textbf{X}}$
- •Discovery of CP violation (Phase2)



E_v reconstruction at low energy



1. Beam energy

- Only the product
 F(E) x σ(E) is observable
 vµ spectrum changes by oscil.
 - Sub-GeV small HE tail
 - CCQE dominates (1 process)
- Even QE absolute cross section is known only with 20-30% precision
 - measurements at v production with similar spectrum are critical
- Intermediate energy v flux should be kept to minimum
 - Many processes contribute (QE, 1π , 2π , DIS)
 - Spectrum changes causes mixture of processes changes



Narrow intense beam: Off-axis beam



Main features of T2K-1

The distance (295km) and $\Delta m^2 (\sim 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2)$

- 1. Oscillation max. at sub-GeV neutrino energy
 - sub-GeV means QE dominant
 - Event-by event Ev reconstruction
 - Small high energy tail
 - small BKG in ve search and Ev reconstruction
- 2. Proper coverage of near detector(s)
 - Cross section ambiguity
- 3. Analysis of water Cherenkov detector data has accumulated almost twenty years of experience
 - K2K has demonstrated BG rejection in ve search
 - Realistic systematic errors and how to improve
- 4. Accumulation of technologies on high power beam

Experiences in K2K with Harp measurement

- Neutrino cross section cannot be trusted above GeV and below deep inelastic region –
- Proper near detectors to measure rate and Far/Near ratio should be used



2. Near detector complex



- Fast (spill-by-spill) monitoring of beam direction/intensity $(\pi \rightarrow \mu \nu)$

• First near detector @280m

- Flux/spectrum/ve off-axis
- intensity/direction on-axis

Second near detector @ ~2km

- Almost same E_{ν} spectrum as for SK
- facility request after commissioning of beam line
- Far detector @ 295km
 - Super-Kamiokande (50kt)



Conceptual Design of Near Detector @ 280m



Possible 2km detectors



Main features of T2K-1

The distance (295km) and Δm^2 (~2.5x10⁻³ eV²)

- 1. Oscillation max. at sub-GeV neutrino energy
 - sub-GeV means QE dominant
 - Event-by event Ev reconstruction
 - Small high energy tail
 - small BKG in ve search and Ev reconstruction
- 2. Proper coverage of near detector(s)
 - Cross section ambiguity
- 3. Analysis of water Cherenkov detector data has accumulated almost twenty years of experience
 - K2K has demonstrated BG rejection in ve search
 - Realistic systematic errors and how to improve
- 4. Accumulation of technologies on high power beam



e-like







Particle ID (e & µ) (in single ring events)



K2K 1KT data and MC reproducibility



SK data reduction in K2K real data:

—K2K-1—	νμ ΜC	beam ve	Data
FCFV	79.7 ^{*1}	0.80	55
Single ring	49.97	0.46	33
Electron like ^{*2}	2.62	0.40	3
Evis > 100 MeV	2.43	0.39	2
No decay-e	1.88	0.34	1
Pi0 cut	0.57	0.17	0
—K2K-2—	νμ ΜC	beam ve	Data
—K2K-2— FCFV	νμ MC 76.2*1	beam ve 0.85	Data 57
—K2K-2— FCFV Single ring	νμ MC 76.2 ^{*1} 48.52	beam ve 0.85 0.51	Data 57 34
—K2K-2— FCFV Single ring Electron like ^{*2}	νμ MC 76.2 ^{*1} 48.52 3.17	beam ve 0.85 0.51 0.44	Data 57 34 5
—K2K-2— FCFV Single ring Electron like ^{*2} Evis > 100 MeV	νμ MC 76.2 ^{*1} 48.52 3.17 2.89	beam ve 0.85 0.51 0.44 0.44	Data 57 34 5 5
K2K-2 FCFV Single ring Electron like ^{*2} Evis > 100 MeV No decay-e	νμ MC 76.2 ^{*1} 48.52 3.17 2.89 2.14	beam ve 0.85 0.51 0.44 0.44 0.38	Data 57 34 5 5 5 4

In total, #expected BG = <u>1.68</u> #observed = <u>1</u>

*I Normalized by Nsk
*2 different from std. PID
(opening angle & ring pattern)
18

Search for $v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{e}$ oscillation in K2K has achieved necessary $\pi 0$ rejection

•K2K real data with background rejection algorithm

As a result, # of expected BG

1.68 events (1.3 from v_{μ} & 0.38 from beam v_{e}) 1 event

of observed events



T2K low energy beam, small tail

1/3 by HE tail – NC π 0 1/3 by E rec

Rough extrapolation to T2K x~100 νμ 10,000 νμ without osc. Shown by real data

BKG ~1.3x100/9~15 for 5 years T2K

Sensitivities, precision in T2K phase-1

<u>Disappearance</u> <u>E_v reconstruction resolution</u>

- Large QE fraction for <1 GeV</p>
- Knowledge of QE cross sections
- Beam with small high energy tail



Precision measurement of θ_{23} , Δm^2_{23} possible systematic errors and phase-1 stat.

•Systematic errors

- normalization $(10\% (\rightarrow 5\% (K2K)))$
- non-qe/qe ratio (20% (to be measured))
- E scale (4% (K2K 2%))
- Spectrum shape (Fluka/MARS →(Near D.))
- Spectrum width (10%)

OA2.5°

 $\delta(\sin^2 2\theta_{23}) \sim 0.01$ $\delta(\Delta m_{23}^2) < 1 \times 10^{-4} \, eV^2$

 $\delta(\sin^2 2\theta)$

 $\delta(\Delta m^2)$





<u>Sensitivity to θ_{13} as a fuction of CP-phase δ </u>



Status of JPARC

3 GeV RCS commissioning plan



Intensity of MR

• J-PARC start with 180 MeV LINAC

Currently, following realistic scenarios have been studied

- Intensity in 3 GeV Booster limited by space charge effect
 - increase number of bunches in MR by RF freq.
 increase in MR (injection time)
 - larger bucket in Booster to increase no. of protons/bunches
 - More RF power to increase rep. (with money)
- Every possible effort to increase MR intensity faster than 3GeV booster
- Badget request will be submitted to restore 400 MeV LINAC (2008,9,10 ?)
- Eventually more than MW beam

Injection Scheme to the 50 GeV MR



Accelerator commissioning plan



Main features of T2K

The distance (295km) and Δm^2 (~2.5x10⁻³ eV²)

- 1. Oscillation max. at sub-GeV neutrino energy
 - sub-GeV means QE dominant
 - Event-by event Ev reconstruction
 - Small high energy tail
 - small BKG in ve search and Ev reconstruction
- 2. Proper coverage of near detector(s)
 - Cross section ambiguity
- 3. Analysis of water Cherenkov detector data has accumulated almost twenty years of experience
 - K2K has demonstrated BG rejection in ve search
 - Realistic systematic errors and how to improve
- 4. Accumulation of technologies on high power beam handling

First high enrgy MW fast-ext'ed beam !

✓ Thermal shock stress $\approx E \alpha \Delta T \approx 3 GPa$

(max stress ~300 MPa)

Material heavier than Ti might be destroyed.

Neutrino Beam Line for T2K Experiment

Special Features

- Superconducting combined function magnets
- Off-axis beam

Components

- Primary proton beam line
 - Normal conducting magnets
 - Superconducting arc
 - Proton beam monitors
- Target/Horn system
- Decay pipe (130m)
 - Cover OA angle 2~3 deg.
- Beam dump
- muon monitors
- Near neutrino detector

Construction: JFY2004~2008

- Possible upgrade in future → Next speaker
 - 4MW Super-J-PARC + Hyper-K (1Mt water Cherenkov)
 - CP violation in lepton sector
 - Proton Decay

Many new concepts emerged from studies of neutrinos.

LH world Quark as physical constituent Number of generations Wide variety mass of elementary particles

.

Tradition will continue and New results in 2010